

FOURTH MOMENTS IN THE GENERAL LINEAR MODEL; AND THE VARIANCE OF
TRANSLATION INVARIANT QUADRATIC FORMS

BU-630-M*

by

October, 1977

R. D. Anderson^{1/}, R. L. Quaas^{1/} and S. R. Searle^{2/}
Cornell University

Abstract

Using vec and Kronecker product operators, a detailed derivation is given of fourth moments in the general linear model and of the variance of translation invariant quadratic forms.

Introduction

We consider the general linear model

$$\underline{y} = \underline{X}\underline{\beta} + \underline{Z}_1\underline{u}_1 + \underline{Z}_2\underline{u}_2 + \cdots + \underline{Z}_k\underline{u}_k \quad (1)$$

where \underline{X} of order $n \times p$ and \underline{Z}_m of order $n \times c_m$, $m = 1, \dots, k$ are known incidence matrices, $\underline{\beta}$ is an unknown vector of p fixed effects, and the \underline{u}_m , of order $c_m \times 1$ for $m = 1, \dots, k$, are unknown vectors of random effects such that

- (i) the elements of \underline{u}_m are independent having common variance σ_m^2 and kurtosis γ_m , and
- (ii) \underline{u}_m and $\underline{u}_{m'}$ are independent for $m \neq m'$.

^{1/} Department of Animal Science.

^{2/} Biometrics Unit

* Paper No. BU-630-M in the Biometrics Unit.

Accordingly, the variance-covariance matrix of the vector \underline{y} is

$$\text{Var}(\underline{y}) = \sum_{m=1}^k \sigma_m^2 \underline{Z}_m \underline{Z}_m' = \underline{V}.$$

As far as the ensuing algebra is concerned, a more convenient representation of (1) is

$$\underline{y} = \underline{X}\underline{\beta} + \underline{Z}\underline{u} \quad (2)$$

where \underline{Z} is the $n \times c$, ($c = \sum_{m=1}^k c_m$) partitioned matrix $\underline{Z} = [\underline{Z}_1 \cdots \underline{Z}_k]$, and \underline{u} is the $c \times 1$ vector $\underline{u}' = [\underline{u}_1' \cdots \underline{u}_k']$. Corresponding to model (2), the variance-covariance matrix of \underline{y} is then

$$\underline{V} = \underline{Z}\underline{D}\underline{Z}'$$

where

$$\underline{D} = E(\underline{u}\underline{u}') = \sum_{m=1}^k \sigma_m^2 \underline{I}_{c_m} \quad (3)$$

and $\Sigma^+ A_i$ denotes the direct sum of matrices A_i .

Fourth Moments

The matrix of central fourth moments of the vector \underline{y} is, by definition,

$$\underline{F} = \text{Var}[(\underline{y} - \underline{X}\underline{\beta}) * (\underline{y} - \underline{X}\underline{\beta})] \quad (4)$$

where $\underline{A} * \underline{B}$ is the direct (Kronecker) product of \underline{A} and \underline{B} . Substituting in terms of (2),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \underline{\underline{F}} &= \text{Var}[(\underline{\underline{Z}}\underline{\underline{u}}) * (\underline{\underline{Z}}\underline{\underline{u}})] \\
 &= \text{Var}[(\underline{\underline{Z}} * \underline{\underline{Z}})(\underline{\underline{u}} * \underline{\underline{u}})] \\
 &= (\underline{\underline{Z}} * \underline{\underline{Z}})[\text{Var}(\underline{\underline{u}} * \underline{\underline{u}})](\underline{\underline{Z}} * \underline{\underline{Z}})' .
 \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Defining the vec of a matrix to be the M^C matrix first introduced by Roth [1934], namely, the vector obtained from stacking the columns of the matrix one beneath the other in a single vector, and noting that $\text{vec}(\underline{\underline{u}}\underline{\underline{u}}') = \underline{\underline{u}} * \underline{\underline{u}}$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Var}(\underline{\underline{u}} * \underline{\underline{u}}) &= E[(\underline{\underline{u}} * \underline{\underline{u}})(\underline{\underline{u}} * \underline{\underline{u}})'] - [E(\underline{\underline{u}} * \underline{\underline{u}})][E(\underline{\underline{u}} * \underline{\underline{u}})]' \\
 &= E[(\underline{\underline{u}}\underline{\underline{u}}') * (\underline{\underline{u}}\underline{\underline{u}}')] - E[\text{vec}(\underline{\underline{u}}\underline{\underline{u}}')][E[\text{vec}(\underline{\underline{u}}\underline{\underline{u}}')]]' \\
 &= E[(\underline{\underline{u}}\underline{\underline{u}}') * (\underline{\underline{u}}\underline{\underline{u}}')] - \text{vec}E(\underline{\underline{u}}\underline{\underline{u}}')[\text{vec}E(\underline{\underline{u}}\underline{\underline{u}}')]' \\
 &= E[(\underline{\underline{u}}\underline{\underline{u}}') * (\underline{\underline{u}}\underline{\underline{u}}')] - \text{vec}D(\text{vec}D)' ,
 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

on using D of (3).

To simplify (6) we define

$$c \equiv c. = c_1 + c_2 + \dots + c_k \tag{7}$$

and

$$\underline{\underline{w}} \equiv D^{-\frac{1}{2}}\underline{\underline{u}} = \{w_i\} \quad i = 1, \dots, c. \tag{8}$$

Then $\underline{\underline{w}}$ has the properties

$$E(\underline{\underline{w}}) = 0, \quad E(\underline{\underline{w}}\underline{\underline{w}}') = \text{var}(\underline{\underline{w}}) = \underline{\underline{I}}_c \tag{9}$$

and

$$E(w_i^4) = 3 + \dot{\gamma}_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, c \tag{10}$$

where

$$\dot{\gamma}_i = i^{\text{th}} \text{ diagonal element of } \sum_{m=1}^k \gamma_m \mathbf{I}_{c_m}. \quad (11)$$

Then for (6)

$$\begin{aligned} E[(\underline{u}\underline{u}') * (\underline{u}\underline{u}')] &= (\underline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}} * \underline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}) E[(\underline{w}\underline{w}') * (\underline{w}\underline{w}')] (\underline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}} * \underline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}})' , \\ &= (\underline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}} * \underline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \Sigma (\underline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}} * \underline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

on defining

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{c^2 \times c^2} &\equiv \{\Sigma_{ij}\} \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, 2, \dots, c \\ &= \{E(w_i w_j \underline{w}\underline{w}')\} \\ &= \{E(w_i w_j w_k w_\ell)\} \quad \text{for } i, j, k, \ell = 1, 2, \dots, c. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Now for $i = j$

$$E(w_i w_i w_k w_\ell) = \begin{cases} 3 + \dot{\gamma}_i & \text{when } i = k = \ell \\ 1 & \text{when } i \neq k = \ell \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

and for $i \neq j$

$$E(w_i w_j w_k w_\ell) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } i = k, j = \ell \\ 1 & \text{when } i = \ell, j = k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

so that, on defining

$$\underline{e}_i = i^{\text{th}} \text{ column of } \mathbf{I}_c, \quad (16)$$

(14) and (15) give the sub-matrices of (13) as

$$\Sigma_{ii} = \mathbf{I} + (2 + \dot{\gamma}_i) \underline{e}_i \underline{e}_i' \quad (17)$$

and

$$\Sigma_{ij} = e_{i\sim j} e'_{i\sim j} + e_{j\sim i} e'_{j\sim i}, \quad \text{for } i \neq j. \quad (18)$$

Therefore in (13), noting that $2e_{i\sim i} e'_{i\sim i} = e_{i\sim j} e'_{i\sim j} + e_{j\sim i} e'_{j\sim i}$ for $i = j$,

$$\Sigma = \{\Sigma_{ij}\} = \underline{I} + \{e_{i\sim j} e'_{i\sim j} + e_{j\sim i} e'_{j\sim i}\} \text{ for } i, j = 1, \dots, c, + \sum_{i=1}^c \gamma_i e_{i\sim i} e'_{i\sim i}. \quad (19)$$

In (19) it is important to note that for the matrix $\{e_{i\sim j} e'_{i\sim j} + e_{j\sim i} e'_{j\sim i}\}$ the sequence of subscripts is $j = 1, \dots, c$ within $i = 1, \dots, c$. This being so, it can be noted that

$$\{e_{j\sim i} e'_{j\sim i}\} \text{ for } i, j = 1, \dots, c, = \underline{I}_{(c,c)}, \quad (20)$$

the permuted identity matrix of order c^2 , as used by Tracy and Dwyer [1969] and MacRae [1974]; and

$$\{e_{i\sim j} e'_{i\sim j}\} \text{ for } i, j = 1, \dots, c, = \text{vec} \underline{I} (\text{vec} \underline{I})'. \quad (21)$$

Furthermore, using (11) in the last term of (19) gives, for $t_{m-1} = c_1 + c_2 + \dots + c_{m-1}$

$$\sum_{i=1}^c \gamma_i e_{i\sim i} e'_{i\sim i} = \sum_{m=1}^k \gamma_m \begin{pmatrix} t_m \\ \Sigma^+ \\ i=t_{m-1}+1 \end{pmatrix} e_{i\sim i} e'_{i\sim i}. \quad (22)$$

Now for $i = 1, \dots, c$

$e_{i\sim i} e'_{i\sim i}$ = a diagonal matrix of order c with its only non-zero element being 1 in the (i, i) position

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} e_{i\sim i} e'_{i\sim i} & 0 \\ 0 & e_{i+1\sim i+1} e'_{i+1\sim i+1} \end{bmatrix} = \text{a diagonal matrix of order } 2c \text{ with its only non-zero elements being 1 in the } (i, i) \text{ and } (c + i + 1, c + i + 1) \text{ positions.}$$

Consider just the diagonal elements of this last matrix. Between the two 1's there are $c - i + i = c$ zeros; and this is true for all i . Denote a row vector of c zeros by $\underline{0}'_c$. Then the diagonal elements of (22) are

$$[\gamma_1 \underline{0}'_c \gamma_1 \underline{0}'_c \cdots \underline{0}'_c \gamma_1 \underline{0}'_c \gamma_2 \underline{0}'_c \cdots \gamma_2 \underline{0}'_c \cdots \cdots \underline{0}'_c \gamma_k \underline{0}'_c \cdots \underline{0}'_c \gamma_k] \quad (23)$$

where γ_m occurs c_m times for $m = 1, 2, \dots, k$. This is a vector of c^2 elements and by its nature is $\text{vec}\left(\sum_{m=1}^k \gamma_m \underline{I}_{c_m}\right)$. Hence, on using the definition

$\text{diag } \underline{x} \equiv$ diagonal matrix with diagonal elements
being the elements of the vector \underline{x} ,

we have (22) as

$$\sum_{i=1}^c \gamma_i \underline{e}_i \underline{e}_i' = \text{diag}\{\text{vec}(\sum_{m=1}^k \gamma_m \underline{I}_{c_m})\}. \quad (24)$$

Substituting (20), (21) and (24) into (19) gives

$$\underline{\Sigma} = \underline{I}_{c^2} + \underline{I}_{(c,c)} + (\text{vec } \underline{I}_c)(\text{vec } \underline{I}_c)' + \text{diag}\{\text{vec}(\sum_{m=1}^k \gamma_m \underline{I}_{c_m})\}. \quad (25)$$

Using $\underline{\Sigma}$ in (12) now gives

$$\begin{aligned} E[(\underline{u}\underline{u}' * \underline{u}\underline{u}')] &= (\underline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}} * \underline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}})[\underline{I} + \underline{I}_{(c,c)} + (\text{vec } \underline{I}_c)(\text{vec } \underline{I}_c)' + \text{diag}\{\text{vec}(\sum_{m=1}^k \gamma_m \underline{I}_{c_m})\}](\underline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}} * \underline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= \underline{D} * \underline{D} + (\underline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}} * \underline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}})\underline{I}_{(c,c)}(\underline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}} * \underline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \underline{z}\underline{z}' + \underline{\Gamma} \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where we define

$$\underline{\Gamma} \equiv (\underline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}} * \underline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}})[\text{diag}\{\text{vec}(\sum_{m=1}^k \gamma_m \underline{I}_{c_m})\}](\underline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}} * \underline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}). \quad (27)$$

Also, use is made of the results in MacRae [1974] that

$$\underline{\underline{I}}_{(p,p)}(\underline{\underline{A}}_{p \times q} * \underline{\underline{B}}_{p \times q})\underline{\underline{I}}_{(q,q)} = \underline{\underline{B}}_{p \times q} * \underline{\underline{A}}_{p \times q} \quad (28)$$

and

$$[\underline{\underline{I}}_{(p,p)}]^2 = \underline{\underline{I}}_{p^2} \quad (29)$$

so that

$$\underline{\underline{I}}_{(p,p)}(\underline{\underline{A}}_{p \times q} * \underline{\underline{A}}_{p \times q}) = (\underline{\underline{B}}_{p \times q} * \underline{\underline{A}}_{p \times q})\underline{\underline{I}}_{(q,q)} . \quad (30)$$

Hence for (26)

$$(\underline{\underline{D}}^{\frac{1}{2}} * \underline{\underline{D}}^{\frac{1}{2}})\underline{\underline{I}}_{(c,c)}(\underline{\underline{D}}^{\frac{1}{2}} * \underline{\underline{D}}^{\frac{1}{2}}) = (\underline{\underline{D}} * \underline{\underline{D}})\underline{\underline{I}}_{(c,c)} . \quad (31)$$

Furthermore, in (26)

$$\underline{\underline{z}} \equiv (\underline{\underline{D}}^{\frac{1}{2}} * \underline{\underline{D}}^{\frac{1}{2}})\text{vec}\underline{\underline{I}} = \text{vec}\underline{\underline{D}} \quad (32)$$

because, in general,

$$\text{vec}(\underline{\underline{ABC}}) = (\underline{\underline{C}}' * \underline{\underline{A}})\text{vec}\underline{\underline{B}} \quad (33)$$

as in Neudecker [1969].

Using (31) and (32) in (26) therefore gives

$$E[(\underline{\underline{uu}}') * (\underline{\underline{uu}}')] = (\underline{\underline{D}} * \underline{\underline{D}})(\underline{\underline{I}} + \underline{\underline{I}}_{(c,c)}) + (\text{vec}\underline{\underline{D}})(\text{vec}\underline{\underline{D}})' + \underline{\underline{\Gamma}} \quad (34)$$

where $\underline{\underline{\Gamma}}$ is as defined in (27), and so substitution into (6) gives

$$\text{var}(\underline{\underline{u}} * \underline{\underline{u}}) = (\underline{\underline{D}} * \underline{\underline{D}})(\underline{\underline{I}} + \underline{\underline{I}}_{(c,c)}) + \underline{\underline{\Gamma}} . \quad (35)$$

Putting (35) into (5) gives the matrix of fourth moments as

$$\underline{\underline{F}} = (\underline{\underline{Z}} * \underline{\underline{Z}})[(\underline{\underline{D}} * \underline{\underline{D}})(\underline{\underline{I}} + \underline{\underline{I}}_{(c,c)}) + \underline{\underline{\Gamma}}](\underline{\underline{Z}}' * \underline{\underline{Z}}')$$

and because $\underline{\underline{ZDZ}}' = \underline{\underline{V}}$ this is:

$$\underline{\underline{F}} = \underline{\underline{V}} * \underline{\underline{V}} + (\underline{\underline{ZD}} * \underline{\underline{ZD}})\underline{\underline{I}}_{(c,c)}(\underline{\underline{Z}}' * \underline{\underline{Z}}') + (\underline{\underline{Z}} * \underline{\underline{Z}})\underline{\underline{\Gamma}}(\underline{\underline{Z}}' * \underline{\underline{Z}}') .$$

Using (30) again leads to

$$\underline{\underline{F}} = (\underline{\underline{V}} * \underline{\underline{V}})(\underline{\underline{I}} + \underline{\underline{I}}_{(n,n)}) + (\underline{\underline{Z}} * \underline{\underline{Z}})\underline{\underline{\Gamma}}(\underline{\underline{Z}}' * \underline{\underline{Z}}') \quad (36)$$

and on using (27) this has the equivalent form

$$\underline{\underline{F}} = (\underline{\underline{V}} * \underline{\underline{V}})(\underline{\underline{I}} + \underline{\underline{I}}_{(n,n)}) + (\underline{\underline{ZD}}^{\frac{1}{2}} * \underline{\underline{ZD}}^{\frac{1}{2}})[\text{diag}\{\text{vec}\left(\sum_{m=1}^k \gamma_m \underline{\underline{I}}_{\underline{\underline{c}}_m}\right)\}](\underline{\underline{D}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\underline{\underline{Z}}' * \underline{\underline{D}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\underline{\underline{Z}}'). \quad (37)$$

This, then, is the general expression for the matrix of fourth central moments of the vector of observations in linear model theory.

In the special case of normality assumptions, i.e., $\underline{\underline{u}}_m \sim N(0, \sigma_m^2 \underline{\underline{I}}_{\underline{\underline{c}}_m})$, we have $\gamma_m = 0$ and (37) reduces to

$$\underline{\underline{F}} = (\underline{\underline{V}} * \underline{\underline{V}})(\underline{\underline{I}} + \underline{\underline{I}}_{(n,n)}) \quad (38)$$

Variance of Translation Invariant Quadratic Forms

The quadratic form $\underline{\underline{y}}'\underline{\underline{A}}\underline{\underline{y}}$ is called translation invariant when $\underline{\underline{A}}$, as well as being symmetric, satisfies $\underline{\underline{A}}\underline{\underline{X}} = 0$. Then the variance of the translation invariant quadratic form is

$$\begin{aligned} v(\underline{\underline{y}}'\underline{\underline{A}}\underline{\underline{y}}) &= v[(\underline{\underline{y}} - \underline{\underline{X}}\underline{\underline{\beta}})'\underline{\underline{A}}(\underline{\underline{y}} - \underline{\underline{X}}\underline{\underline{\beta}})] \\ &= v(\underline{\underline{u}}'\underline{\underline{Z}}'\underline{\underline{A}}\underline{\underline{Z}}\underline{\underline{u}}) \\ &= v\{\text{tr}[\underline{\underline{A}}(\underline{\underline{Z}}\underline{\underline{u}}\underline{\underline{u}}'\underline{\underline{Z}}')]\} . \end{aligned}$$

Now use the general result for any product $\underline{\underline{PQ}}$, that

$$\text{tr}(\underline{\underline{PQ}}) = (\text{vec} \underline{\underline{P}})' \text{vec} \underline{\underline{Q}} \quad (39)$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} v(\underline{\underline{y}}' \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{\underline{y}}) &= v\{(\text{vec} \underline{\underline{A}})' \text{vec}(\underline{\underline{Z}} \underline{\underline{u}} \underline{\underline{u}}' \underline{\underline{Z}}')\} \\ &= (\text{vec} \underline{\underline{A}})' \text{var}[\text{vec}(\underline{\underline{Z}} \underline{\underline{u}} \underline{\underline{u}}' \underline{\underline{Z}}')] \text{vec} \underline{\underline{A}} \\ &= (\text{vec} \underline{\underline{A}})' \text{var}[(\underline{\underline{Z}} \underline{\underline{u}}) * (\underline{\underline{Z}} \underline{\underline{u}})'] \text{vec} \underline{\underline{A}} \\ &= (\text{vec} \underline{\underline{A}})' \underline{\underline{F}}(\text{vec} \underline{\underline{A}}), \quad \text{using (4)} \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

and on using (36) this gives

$$v(\underline{\underline{y}}' \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{\underline{y}}) = \theta_1 + \theta_2 \quad (41)$$

for

$$\theta_1 = (\text{vec} \underline{\underline{A}})' (\underline{\underline{V}} * \underline{\underline{V}}) (\underline{\underline{I}} + \underline{\underline{I}}_{(n,n)}) \text{vec} \underline{\underline{A}} \quad (42)$$

and

$$\theta_2 = (\text{vec} \underline{\underline{A}})' (\underline{\underline{Z}} \underline{\underline{D}}^{\frac{1}{2}} * \underline{\underline{Z}} \underline{\underline{D}}^{\frac{1}{2}}) [\text{diag}\{\text{vec}(\sum_{m=1}^k \gamma_m \underline{\underline{I}}_{\underline{\underline{C}}_m})\}] (\underline{\underline{D}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \underline{\underline{Z}}' * \underline{\underline{D}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \underline{\underline{Z}}') \text{vec} \underline{\underline{A}} . \quad (43)$$

In θ_1 of (42), the elements of the $[(i-1)n+j]^{\text{th}}$ row of $\underline{\underline{I}}_{(n,n)}$ are all zero except for a 1 in the $[(j-1)n+i]^{\text{th}}$ column (and vice versa); and also, because $\underline{\underline{A}}$ is symmetric, the $[(i-1)n+j]^{\text{th}}$ and $[(j-1)n+i]^{\text{th}}$ elements of $\text{vec} \underline{\underline{A}}$ are the same. Hence

$$\underline{\underline{I}}_{(n,n)} (\text{vec} \underline{\underline{A}}) = \text{vec} \underline{\underline{A}} . \quad (44)$$

Also using (33) and (39)

$$(\text{vec} \underline{\underline{A}})' (\underline{\underline{V}} * \underline{\underline{V}}) \text{vec} \underline{\underline{A}} = (\text{vec} \underline{\underline{A}})' \text{vec}(\underline{\underline{V}} \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{\underline{V}}) = \text{tr}(\underline{\underline{A}} \underline{\underline{V}})^2 , \quad (45)$$

so that

$$\theta_1 = 2\text{tr}(\underline{\underline{AV}})^2. \quad (46)$$

Simplification of θ_2 in (43) starts with using (33) to get

$$\theta_2 = [\text{vec}(\underline{\underline{D}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \underline{\underline{Z}}' \underline{\underline{AZD}}^{\frac{1}{2}})]' [\text{diag}\{\text{vec}(\sum_{m=1}^k \gamma_m \underline{\underline{I}}_{\underline{\underline{c}}_m})\}] \text{vec}(\underline{\underline{D}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \underline{\underline{Z}}' \underline{\underline{AZD}}^{\frac{1}{2}})$$

which is of the form

$$\theta_2 = (\text{vec} \underline{\underline{H}})' [\text{diag}\{\text{vec} \underline{\underline{L}}\}] \text{vec} \underline{\underline{H}} \quad (47)$$

for

$$\underline{\underline{H}} = \underline{\underline{D}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \underline{\underline{Z}}' \underline{\underline{AZD}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\underline{L}} = \sum_{m=1}^k \gamma_m \underline{\underline{I}}_{\underline{\underline{c}}_m}. \quad (48)$$

The nature of the vec and diag operators means that (47) is

$$\theta_2 = \sum_{ij} \sum h_{ij}^2 l_{ij} \quad (49)$$

for $\underline{\underline{H}} = \{h_{ij}\}$ and $\underline{\underline{L}} = \{l_{ij}\}$ of (48). But with this $\underline{\underline{L}}$, the only non-zero l_{ij} 's are the diagonal ones, $l_{tt} = \gamma_m$ for $t = 1, \dots, c_m$ and $m = 1, \dots, k$. Furthermore, as in (23), these diagonal elements have c zeros between them in $\text{vec} \underline{\underline{L}}$ so that the use of (48) in (49) gives

$$\theta_2 = \sum_{m=1}^k \gamma_m \sum_{t=1}^{c_m} h_{tt}^2$$

for

$$\begin{aligned} h_{tt}^2 &= t^{th} \text{ diagonal element of the } m^{th} \text{ diagonal sub-matrix of } \underline{\underline{D}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \underline{\underline{Z}}' \underline{\underline{AZD}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \sigma_m^2(t^{th} \text{ diagonal element of the } m^{th} \text{ diagonal sub-matrix of } \underline{\underline{Z}}' \underline{\underline{AZ}}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\theta_2 = \sum_{m=1}^k \gamma_m \sigma_m^4 (\text{sum of squares of diagonal elements of } \underline{\underline{Z}}' \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{\underline{Z}}_{\underline{\underline{m}}}) . \quad (50)$$

Substituting (46) and (50) into (41) gives

$$v(\underline{\underline{y}}' \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{\underline{y}}) = 2\text{tr}(\underline{\underline{A}} \underline{\underline{V}})^2 + \sum_{m=1}^k \gamma_m \sigma_m^4 (\text{sum of squares of diagonal elements of } \underline{\underline{Z}}' \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{\underline{Z}}_{\underline{\underline{m}}}) . \quad (51)$$

This is the variance, under non-normality, of a translation invariant ($\underline{\underline{A}} \underline{\underline{X}} = 0$) quadratic form $\underline{\underline{y}}' \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{\underline{y}}$. Under normality, $\gamma_m = 0$ for all m and (51) reduces to the familiar form

$$v(\underline{\underline{y}}' \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{\underline{y}}) = 2\text{tr}(\underline{\underline{A}} \underline{\underline{V}})^2 . \quad (52)$$

Equation (51) is, of course, equivalent to the result given by Rao [1971] where he writes $\underline{\underline{\Delta}}_1$ for $\underline{\underline{D}}$ and $\underline{\underline{\Delta}}_2 = \sum_{m=1}^k \gamma_m \sigma_m^4 \underline{\underline{I}}_{\underline{\underline{c}}_m}$ and $\underline{\underline{B}} = \text{diag}\{\text{diagonal elements of } \underline{\underline{B}}\}$ and so gets

$$v(\underline{\underline{y}}' \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{\underline{y}}) = 2\text{tr}(\underline{\underline{B}} \underline{\underline{\Delta}}_1)^2 + \text{tr}(\underline{\underline{B}} \underline{\underline{\Delta}}_2 \underline{\underline{B}}) ,$$

for $\underline{\underline{B}} = \underline{\underline{Z}}' \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{\underline{Z}}$. With $\underline{\underline{V}}$ being $\underline{\underline{Z}} \underline{\underline{D}} \underline{\underline{Z}}'$ this is readily seen to be the same as (51).

References

- MacRae, E. C. [1974]. Matrix derivatives with an application to an adaptive linear decision problem. Annals of Statistics 2:337-346.
- Neudecker, H. [1969]. Some theorems on matrix derivatives in multivariate analysis. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 62:607-625.
- Rao, C. R. [1971]. Estimation of variance and covariance components - MINQUE theory. J. Multivariate Anal. 1:257-275.
- Roth, W. E. [1934]. On direct product matrices. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 40:461-468.
- Tracy, D. S. and Dwyer, P. S. [1969]. Multivariate maxima and minima with matrix derivatives. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 64:1576-1594.